

Sparsity

Sparse Signal Recovery Algorithms



 SIAM REVIEW
Vol. 43, No. 1, pp. 129–159
 © 2001 Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics

 Atomic Decomposition by Basis
Pursuit*
 Lecture Notes:
Justin Romber

Lecture Notes: Sparsity and Compressive Sensing, Justin Romberg, Georgia Tech Uni.

Scott Shaobing Chen[†] David L. Donoho[‡] Michael A. Saunders[§]

Taking the signal apart. Writing it as a discrete linear combinations of "atoms".



$$x(t) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \alpha(\gamma) \psi_{\gamma}(t)$$

for some fixed set of *basis* signals $\{\psi_{\gamma}(t)\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$. Here Γ is a discrete index set (for example \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{N} , $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$ etc.) which will be different depending on the application.

Translate (linearly) the signal into into a discrete list of numbers in such a way that it can be reconstructed (i.e. the translation is lossless). Linear transform = series of inner products, so this mapping looks like:

$$x(t) \longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle x(t), \psi_1(t) \rangle \\ \langle x(t), \psi_2(t) \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle x(t), \psi_\gamma(t) \rangle \\ \vdots \end{array} \right\}$$

for some fixed set of signals $\{\psi_{\gamma}(t)\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$.

Fourier series

Let $x(t) \in L_2([0, 1])$. Then we can build up x(t) using harmonic complex sinusoids:

$$x(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(k) e^{j2\pi kt}$$

where

$$\alpha(k) = \int_0^1 x(t) e^{-j2\pi kt} dt$$
$$= \langle x(t), e^{j2\pi kt} \rangle.$$

Fourier series: properties

- 1. The $\{\alpha(k)\}$ carry semantic information about which frequencies are in the signal.
- 2. If x(t) is smooth, the magnitudes $|\alpha(k)|$ fall off quickly as k increases. This energy compaction provides a kind of implicit *compression*.

Sinc interpolation

$$\begin{aligned} x[n] &= x(nT), \\ x(t) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n] \, \frac{\sin(\pi(t-nT))}{\pi(t-nT)/T}. \end{aligned}$$

Sinc interpolation

$$\begin{aligned} x[n] &= x(nT), \\ x(t) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n] \frac{\sin(\pi(t-nT))}{\pi(t-nT)/T}. \end{aligned}$$

$$x(t) = \sum_{n=\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(n) \,\psi_n(t)$$

$$\psi_n(t) = \sqrt{T} \frac{\sin(\pi(t - nT))}{\pi(t - nT)}$$
$$\alpha(n) = \sqrt{T} x(nT).$$

Ortho-basis expansion

If $\{\psi_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ is an orthobasis for H, then every $x(t)\in H$ can be written as

$$x(t) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle x(t), \psi_{\gamma}(t) \rangle \, \psi_{\gamma}(t).$$

$$\langle \psi_{\gamma}, \psi_{\gamma'} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \gamma = \gamma' \\ 0 & \gamma \neq \gamma' \end{cases}.$$

Ortho-basis expansion

Analysis step
$$\Psi^*[x(t)] = \{\langle x(t), \psi_{\gamma}(t) \rangle\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} = \{\alpha(\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}.$$

Synthesis step
$$\Psi[\{\alpha(\gamma)\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}] = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \alpha(\gamma) \psi_{\gamma}(t).$$

Parseval's Theorem

Theorem. Let $\{\psi_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ be an orthobasis for a space H. Then for any two signals $x, \in H$

$$\langle x, y \rangle_H = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \alpha(\gamma) \beta(\gamma)^*$$

where

$$\alpha(\gamma) = \langle x, \psi_{\gamma} \rangle_{H}$$
 and $\beta(\gamma) = \langle y, \psi_{\gamma} \rangle_{H}$.

Parseval's Theorem

- every space of signals for which we can find any ortho-basis can be discretized
- mapping from (continuous) signal space into (discrete) coefficient space preserves inner products
 - it preserves all of the geometrical relationships between the signals (i.e. distances and angles).
- in some sense, this means that all signal processing can be done by manipulating discrete sequences of numbers.

Cosine Transform (CT)

The cosine-I basis functions for $t \in [0, 1]$ are

$$\psi_{k}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0 \\ \sqrt{2}\cos(\pi kt) & k > 0 \end{cases}$$

Discrete Cosine Transform (CT)

Definition: The DCT basis functions for \mathbb{R}^N are $\psi_k[n] = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} & k = 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \cos\left(\frac{\pi k}{N} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) & k = 1, \dots, N-1 \end{cases}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$.

PCA

Formally, let $\psi_1(t), \ldots, \psi_N(t)$ be a finite set of orthogonal vectors in H, and set

 $\mathcal{V} = \operatorname{span}\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\}.$ Given a fixed signal $x_0(t) \in H$, the solution $\tilde{x}_0(t)$ to

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{V}} \|x_0(t) - x(t)\|_2^2 \tag{1}$$

is given by

$$ilde{x}_0(t) = \sum_{k=1}^N \langle x_0(t), \psi_k(t) \rangle \psi_k(t).$$

Non-orthogonal basis

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{N-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle x, \psi_0 \rangle \\ \langle x, \psi_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle x, \psi_{N-1} \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

Stacking up the (transposed) ψ_k as rows in an $N \times N$ matrix Ψ^* ,

$$\Psi^* = \begin{bmatrix} -- & \psi_0^* & -- \\ -- & \psi_1^* & -- \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -- & \psi_{N-1}^* & -- \end{bmatrix},$$

we have the straightforward relationships

$$\alpha = \Psi^* x$$
, and $x = \Psi^{*-1} \alpha$.

Non-orthogonal basis

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{N-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle x, \psi_0 \rangle \\ \langle x, \psi_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle x, \psi_{N-1} \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

Stacking up the (transposed) ψ_k as rows in an $N \times N$ matrix Ψ^* ,

$$\Psi^* = \begin{bmatrix} - & \psi_0^* & - & \\ - & \psi_1^* & - & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ - & \psi_{N-1}^* & - & \end{bmatrix},$$

we have the straightforward relationships

$$\alpha = \Psi^* x$$
, and $x = \Psi^{*-1} \alpha$.

$$x[n] = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \langle x, \psi_k \rangle \tilde{\psi}_k[n].$$

$$\Psi^{*-1} = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & \cdots & | \\ \tilde{\psi}_0 & \tilde{\psi}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{\psi}_{N-1} \\ | & | & \cdots & | \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_1^2 \|x\|_2^2 \leq \|\alpha\|_2^2 \leq \sigma_N^2 \|x\|_2^2,$$

Over-complete frames: Fat matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{M-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle x, \psi_0 \rangle \\ \langle x, \psi_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle x, \psi_{M-1} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \qquad \Psi^* = \begin{bmatrix} - & \psi_0^* & - \\ - & \psi_1^* & - \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ - & \psi_{M-1}^* & - \end{bmatrix} \qquad x = (\Psi\Psi^*)^{-1}\Psi\Psi^*x.$$

$$\tilde{\psi}_k = (\Psi \Psi^*)^{-1} \psi_k.$$
 $x[n] = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \langle x, \psi_k \rangle \tilde{\psi}_k[n].$

- Signal/image f(t) in the time/spatial domain
- Decompose f as a superposition of atoms

$$\begin{split} f(t) &= \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \psi_{i}(t) \\ \psi_{i} &= \text{basis functions} \\ \alpha_{i} &= \text{expansion coefficients in } \psi\text{-domain} \end{split}$$

- Classical example: Fourier series
 - $\psi_i = \text{complex sinusoids}$
 - $\alpha_i =$ Fourier coefficients
- Modern example: wavelets
 - $\psi_i =$ "little waves"
 - $\alpha_i = wavelet \ coefficients$



Two sequences of functions: $\{\psi_i(t)\}, \{\tilde{\psi}(t)\}\$ Analysis (inner products):

$$\alpha = \tilde{\Psi^*}[f], \qquad \alpha_i = \langle \tilde{\psi}_i, f \rangle$$

Synthesis (superposition):

$$f = \Psi[\alpha], \qquad f = \sum_{i} \alpha_i \psi_i(t)$$

• If $\{\psi_i(t)\}$ is an orthobasis, then

$$\|\alpha\|_{\ell_2}^2 = \|f\|_{L_2}^2 \quad \text{(Parseval)}$$
$$\sum_i \alpha_i \beta_i = \int f(t)g(t) \ dt \quad \text{(where } \beta = \tilde{\Psi}[g]\text{)}$$







Michael A. Saunders





Two sequences of functions: $\{\psi_i(t)\}, \{\tilde{\psi}(t)\}\$ Analysis (inner products):

$$\alpha = \tilde{\Psi^*}[f], \qquad \alpha_i = \langle \tilde{\psi}_i, f \rangle$$

Synthesis (superposition):

$$f = \Psi[\alpha], \qquad f = \sum_{i} \alpha_i \psi_i(t)$$

Two sequences of functions: $\{\psi_i(t)\}, \{\tilde{\psi}(t)\}\$ Analysis (inner products):

$$\alpha = \tilde{\Psi^*}[f], \qquad \alpha_i = \langle \tilde{\psi}_i, f \rangle$$

Synthesis (superposition):

$$f = \Psi[\alpha], \qquad f = \sum_{i} \alpha_i \psi_i(t)$$



- Classical: signal/image is "bandlimited" or "low-pass"
- Modern: smooth between isolated singularities (e.g. 1D piecewise poly)
- Postmodern: 2D image is smooth between smooth edge contours



- Classical: signal/image is "bandlimited" or "low-pass"
- Modern: smooth between isolated singularities (e.g. 1D piecewise poly)
- Postmodern: 2D image is smooth between smooth edge contours
 - Ortho-basis (NxN)
 - Basis (NxN)
 - Overcomplete (NxM, M>>N)

Given x, choice of \Psi determines the behavior of \alpha.



An overcomplete dictionary (more columns than rows) can help in obtaining a representation \alpha which is sparse.



An pursued goal is Construct "good representation"

- sparsifies signals/images of interest
- can be computed using fast algorithms
 (O(N) or O(N log N) think of the FFT)

Linear approximation

• Linear S-term approximation: keep S coefficients in fixed locations

$$f_S(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{S} \alpha_m \psi_m(t)$$

- projection onto fixed subspace
- Iowpass filtering, principle components, etc.
- Fast coefficient decay \Rightarrow good approximation

$$|\alpha_m| \lesssim m^{-r} \quad \Rightarrow \quad ||f - f_S||_2^2 \lesssim S^{-2r+1}$$

• Take f(t) periodic, *d*-times continuously differentiable, Ψ = Fourier series:

$$\|f - f_S\|_2^2 \lesssim S^{-2d}$$

The smoother the function, the better the approximation Something similar is true for wavelets ...

Take 1% of "low pass" coefficients, set the rest to zero

original



approximated



Adapted from: Lecture Notes on Sparsity and Compressive Sensing, Justin Romberg, Georgia Tech Uni.

Non-linear Approximation

 $\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|f - \Psi\beta\|_2^2 \text{ subject to } \#\{\gamma : \beta[\gamma] \neq 0\} \le S.$

- 1. Compute $\alpha = \Psi^* f$.
- 2. Find the locations of the S-largest terms in α ; call this set Γ .
- 3. Set $\tilde{\beta}_{S}[\gamma] = \begin{cases} \alpha[\gamma] & \gamma \in \Gamma \\ 0 & \gamma \notin \Gamma \end{cases}$
- 4. Compute $\tilde{f}_S = \Psi \tilde{\beta}_S$.

Take 1% of "low pass" coefficients, set the rest to zero

original



rel. error = 0.075

Take 1% of *largest* coefficients, set the rest to zero (adaptive)

original



rel. error = 0.057

approximated

Take 1% of *largest* coefficients, set the rest to zero (adaptive)

original



approximated



rel. error = 0.057
Image approximation using DCT

Take 1% of "low pass" coefficients, set the rest to zero



approximated

rel. error = 0.075

Image approximation using DCT

Take 1% of *largest* coefficients, set the rest to zero (adaptive)



rel. error = 0.057

DCT/wavelets comparison

Take 1% of *largest* coefficients, set the rest to zero (adaptive)

DCT



rel. error = 0.057

rel. error = 0.031

wavelets

 \bullet Nonlinear S-term approximation: keep S largest coefficients

$$f_S(t) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_S} \alpha_\gamma \psi_\gamma(t), \qquad \Gamma_S = \text{locations of } S \text{ largest } |\alpha_m|$$

• Fast decay of sorted coefficients \Rightarrow good approximation

$$|\alpha|_{(m)} \lesssim m^{-r} \Rightarrow ||f - f_S||_2^2 \lesssim S^{-2r+1}$$

 $|\alpha|_{(m)} = m$ th largest coefficient

Linear v. nonlinear approximation

• For f(t) uniformly smooth with d "derivatives"

S-term approx. error

Fourier, linear	S^{-2d+1}
Fourier, nonlinear	S^{-2d+1}
wavelets, linear	S^{-2d+1}
wavelets, nonlinear	S^{-2d+1}

• For f(t) piecewise smooth

S-term approx. error

Fourier, linear	S^{-1}
Fourier, nonlinear	S^{-1}
wavelets, linear	S^{-1}
wavelets, nonlinear	S^{-2d+1}

Nonlinear wavelet approximations *adapt* to singularities

Sparse representation - a "good representation"

Sparse representations yield algorithms for (among other things)

- compression,
- estimation in the presence of noise ("denoising"),
- inverse problems (e.g. tomography),
- acquisition (compressed sensing)

that are

- fast,
- relatively simple,
- and produce (nearly) optimal results



A simple underdetermined inverse problem

Observe a subset Ω of the 2D discrete Fourier plane



observations on 22 radial lines, 10,486 samples, $\approx 4\%$ coverage

Minimum energy reconstruction

Reconstruct g^* with

$$\hat{g}^*(\omega_1,\omega_2) = \begin{cases} \hat{f}(\omega_1,\omega_2) & (\omega_1,\omega_2) \in \Omega\\ 0 & (\omega_1,\omega_2) \notin \Omega \end{cases}$$

Set unknown Fourier coeffs to zero, and inverse transform



Total-variation reconstruction

Find an image that

- Fourier domain: matches observations
- Spatial domain: has a minimal amount of oscillation

Reconstruct g^* by solving:

$$\min_{g} \sum_{i,j} |(\nabla g)_{i,j}| \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \hat{g}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \hat{f}(\omega_1, \omega_2), \quad (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega$$



Total-variation reconstruction

Find an image that

||.||₁ I₁-norm induces sparsity

- Fourier domain: matches observations
- Spatial domain: has a minimal amount of oscillation

Reconstruct g^* by solving:

$$\min_{g} \sum_{i,j} |(\nabla g)_{i,j}| \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \hat{g}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \hat{f}(\omega_1, \omega_2), \quad (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega$$



Sampling a superposition of sinusoids

We take M samples of a superposition of S sinusoids:



Sampling a superposition of sinusoids

Reconstruct by solving

$$\min_{x} \left[\|\hat{x}\|_{\ell_1} \right] \text{ subject to } x(t_m) = x_0(t_m), \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$



Graphical intuition for ℓ_1



Numerical recovery curves

- Resolutions N = 256, 512, 1024 (black, blue, red)
- Signal composed of S randomly selected sinusoids
- $\bullet\,$ Sample at M randomly selected locations



 $\bullet\,$ In practice, perfect recovery occurs when $M\approx 2S$ for $N\approx 1000$

A nonlinear sampling theorem

Exact Recovery Theorem (Candès, R, Tao, 2004):

- Unknown \hat{x}_0 is supported on set of size S
- Select M sample locations $\{t_m\}$ "at random" with

 $M \geq \operatorname{Const} \cdot S \log N$

Take time-domain samples (measurements) y_m = x₀(t_m)
Solve

$$\min_{x} \|\hat{x}\|_{\ell_1} \quad \text{subject to} \quad x(t_m) = y_m, \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$

- Solution is *exactly* f with extremely high probability
- In total-variation/phantom example, S=number of jumps

Sparse representations are representations that account for most or all information of a signal with a linear combination of a small number of atoms.



Sparse representations are representations that account for most or all information of a signal with a linear combination of a small number of atoms.

Given x and \Psi with more columns than rows, solving for a sparse \alpha is non-trivial and a challenging problem.

- **Greedy algorithms** Matching pursuit (MP) and the closely related Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (OMP) operate by iterative choosing columns of the matrix. At each iteration, the column that reduces the approximation error the most is chosen.
- **Convex programming** Relaxes the combinatorial problem into a closely related convex program, and minimizes a global cost function. The particular program, based on ℓ_1 minimization, we will look at has been given the name Basis Pursuit in the literature.





• Types of signal - time, space, applications

- Signal Models
 - Polynomials
 - Sines and cosines

Representations

- Fourier series
- Fourier transform
- Convolution
- Filtering
- Linear Systems: Impulse response and head related transfer function
- DFT
 - Computation
 - Neural network

- Time-frequency representation
 - spectrum varies with time
 - Instantaneous frequency
 - STFT and spectrogram
- Clustering
 - k-means
 - Distance measure: DP and DWT
- Dimensionality Reduction
 - Linear spaces
 - PCA
 - o LDA
- Sparse representations
 - Introduction
 - Basis and representations
 - L2, L1 and L0 norm
- and other things we discussed in class



Thank you!